Water adsorption on the $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface
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The adsorption of water monomers, small water clusters, and water thin films on $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surfaces is studied by density-functional theory. For the metal-terminated surface, the calculations favor the dissociative adsorption for low coverages and the formation of hexagons of alternating dissociatively and molecularly adsorbed water monomers for water-rich conditions. The calculated adsorption energy per water molecule decreases from about 1.5 eV for single adsorbed molecules to about 1.2 eV for thin films in very good agreement with our temperature programmed desorption experiments. The fully hydroxylated (gibbsite-like) surface, however, represents the thermodynamic ground state of the $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface in the presence of water.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction of water with solid surfaces is fundamental to research in various fields ranging from atmospheric chemistry to corrosion and heterogeneous catalysis. Despite substantial research efforts, however, precise information on the water geometry at the atomic level often seems elusive for the ubiquitous liquid phase as well as for many cases of substrate-supported thin water films and clusters prepared in the laboratory.

The interaction of water with aluminum oxide has found interest because of the extensive use if this material, e.g., as a catalyst as well as a catalyst support, and by its role in environmental chemistry. Moreover, the well-defined Al-terminated $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface is a widely studied model for water interaction with metal-oxide surfaces. Experimentally, most studies propose water dissociation on the Al-terminated $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface. This was concluded from high-resolution electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (HREELS) studies as well as thermal desorption experiments (TDS). X-ray photoemission experiments were interpreted to indicate water dissociation in particular at surface defect sites. Early ultraviolet photoelectron spectra, on the other hand, seem to indicate molecular adsorption of water at room temperature. Also the mobility of the adsorbed molecules on the $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface seems to be a somewhat open question. In Ref. 12 a low mobility of the hydroxyl groups at the surface was stated in contrast to the interpretation of dynamic scanning force microscopy images of the water exposed $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface. Theoretically, most ab initio studies agree on the stability of the dissociative vs the molecular adsorption while one cluster study found little difference between the energies of molecular and dissociative adsorption of water. A recent density-functional theory (DFT) study compares the energetics of a wide range of adsorption geometries for various coverages. However, this comparison does not include the possibility of a dissociative adsorption as concluded from many experimental studies. Also, the change in the surface composition upon adsorption is not considered in these studies despite early indications for the high stability of the oxygen-terminated surface in the presence of water. This is confirmed in a very recent study by Ran et al. that finds the fully hydroxylated surface to be the thermodynamic ground state in the presence of water, but concluded that the actual surface composition will depend strongly on the sample history.

Here we explore the energetics of both molecularly and dissociatively adsorbed water for various coverages by DFT calculations. Potential energy surfaces for surface adsorbed water molecules, hydrogen, and hydroxyl groups are presented to address the controversial issue of surface mobility. In addition, the adsorption energetics is addressed by temperature programmed desorption (TPD) spectroscopy.

II. THEORY

The calculations are performed using DFT within the generalized gradient approach (GGA) as implemented in the venna $ab$ initio simulation package (VASP). The electron-phonon interaction is described by the projector-augmented wave scheme. The electronic wave functions are expanded into plane waves up to a kinetic energy of 360 eV. The surface is modeled by periodically repeated slabs. Each supercell consists of 18 atomic layers within $(2 \times 2)$ periodicity plus the adsorbed water and a vacuum region equivalent to 18 Å. The 15 uppermost layers as well as the adsorbate degrees of freedom are allowed to relax until the forces on the atoms are below 20 meV/Å. The Brillouin zone integration is performed using $2 \times 2 \times 1$ Monkhorst-Pack meshes. We use the PW91 functional to describe the electron exchange and correlation energy within the GGA. It describes the hydrogen bonds in solid water (ice Ih) in good agreement with experiment.

III. EXPERIMENTAL

Experimentally, after cleaning with concentrated phosphoric acid (85%), rinsing with water, and drying in a stream
of nitrogen, the sapphire crystal was annealed in air in a high-purity furnace at about 1600 K for 24 h. The annealing produced a surface with large terraces (>1 μm) as evidenced by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and low-energy electron diffraction (LEED); cf. Fig. 1. The ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) system consists of a pumping system and the vacuum vessel. Vacuum was maintained by two turbo molecular pumps backed by a rotary oil pump. The sample manipulator is based on a rotatable rod that can be moved horizontally and screws allow the accurate positioning of the sample. The manipulator also has electrical feedthroughs with ceramic insulation for connecting the sample to a power supply for resistive heating and two thermocouple wires to a temperature controller. Temperatures of up to approximately 1000 K can thus be obtained at the single crystal sample. The heating power is regulated by a temperature controller that reads the voltage of a thermocouple welded to the side of the sample. The different surface coverages of water were achieved just before transferring the sample to UHV. One sapphire sample was taken fresh from the furnace (movement time through ambient air until UHV transfer about 2 min). The second sample was put into liquid water for 1 min and the last one was activated in low-temperature water plasma for the same time. The TPD experiments were driven with a heating rate β of 1 K s⁻¹.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

While the corundum structure of bulk α-Al₂O₃ has a rhombohedral symmetry, the atomic positions are usually given in terms of a hexagonal unit cell. The Al-terminated α-Al₂O₃(0001) surface has p3 symmetry, i.e., a threefold rotational axis through the Al ions and no mirror planes. In good agreement with previous studies,²⁹,³⁰ we find upon structural relaxation of the clean surface that the outermost Al ions move toward the bulk ending up almost in plane with the O atoms; cf. Fig. 2.

We start the adsorption study by determining the potential energy surfaces (PES) for (a) single water monomers, (b) hydrogens in the presence of a surface adsorbed hydroxyl group, and (c) hydroxyl groups in the presence of a surface adsorbed hydrogen on the clean Al-terminated α-Al₂O₃(0001) surface, respectively. Apart from the lateral position of one adsorbate atom, the structural degrees of freedom of both substrate and adsorbate were fully relaxed in these calculations. The calculated data are shown in Fig. 3. In order to account for the fact that energy barriers hinder the free rotation of surface adsorbed water molecules, the minimum energy geometry for every PES sampling point was obtained by probing different molecular starting orientations. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the energy landscape experienced by molecularly adsorbed water monomers as well as dissociated hydrogens or hydroxyl groups is rather corrugated, with maximum energy differences/minimum diffusion energy barriers of 0.54/0.48, 1.54/0.66, and 1.54/1.31 eV. These data clearly support the view of a rather low mobility, in particular of the hydroxyl groups, at the surface.¹² The diffusion barriers for water monomers are somewhat lower but still substantial.

Starting from the low-energy adsorption configuration of single water monomers on the (2 × 2) surface unit cell, the water coverage was systematically increased to eight molecules. The corresponding lowest-energy structures for the respective coverage on the Al-terminated surface are denoted by ATₙ in the following, where n corresponds to the number of molecules per (2 × 2) surface unit cell. Among others, the adsorption configurations from Ref. 20 as well as (partially) dissociated adsorption models were probed. In addition, we calculate the energetics of the fully hydroxylated (gibbsite-like) surface that can be understood as the triple hydroxination of the nonstoichiometric O-terminated α-Al₂O₃(0001) surface.²³,³¹ It is denoted as FH(−)ₙ. The structures resulting from the adsorption (removal) of n water monomers on top of (from) this structure are denoted as FH(−)ₙ.

In order to compare adsorption models with different water coverages, the thermodynamic grand-canonical potential
needs to be calculated, where $F_{\text{surf}}(n) = F_{\text{surf}}(n) - n\mu H_2O$ at zero temperature assuming similar entropy contributions for different adsorption configurations. The number of adsorbate molecules is represented by $n$. Figure 4 shows the resulting phase diagram in dependence on the water chemical potential $\mu H_2O$ given with respect to ice Ih. Figure 4. (Color online) Calculated phase diagram of the $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface in dependence on the water chemical potential $\Delta \mu$ given with respect to ice Ih.

Low coordination of surface Al ions on clean $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$(0001) makes these sites strong Lewis acids, i.e., electron acceptors, which readily adsorb molecules such as $H_2O$, that can add electron density. The dissociative adsorp-
Adsorption is primarily heterolytic in nature. Adsorbed H$_2$O dissociating can be viewed as splitting into H$^+$ and OH$^-$, with the proton transferred to a nearby surface site in a 1–4 mechanism. For all coverages, adsorption of H$_2$O significantly disrupts the clean Al/$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$/H$_2$O surface geometry. The adsorption pulls the surface Al out of its relaxed surface position and elongates its three bonds to neighboring oxygen ions. The bonds between this Al and second-layer O are significantly longer and they are elongated even beyond the bulk values; cf. Fig. 2. That single H$_2$O molecules prefer to adsorb dissociatively on $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$(0001), even in the absence of defects, differs from the behavior predicted in an earlier study of H$_2$O on MgO(100). In that case, dissociative adsorption was favored in the vicinity of a step, but molecular adsorption was more stable on the ideal surface.

The most relevant structures from the surface phase diagram are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. For low water coverages on Al-terminated surfaces, single dissociated molecules are stable. The ground-state geometry calculated here corresponds to the 1–2 geometry discussed in Ref. 16. It is energetically nearly degenerate with the 1–4 structure. The latter structure, however, requires a substantially lower activation energy of only 0.09 eV. If all surface Al atoms are occupied by hydroxyl groups, additional water molecules do not dissociate but form hydrogen bonds with the preadsorbed H and OH groups; see Fig. 6. Hexagons form (AT8) that are somewhat reminiscent of the water hexagons formed on many metal surfaces. In contrast to the latter, however, the hexagon structures formed on $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$(0001) consist of alternating dissociated and intact molecules, which might explain some of the experimental ambiguities discussed above. It is interesting to note that a recent theoretical study by Scheffler and co-workers found similarly a crossover to a mixed molecular and dissociative adsorption mode with increasing water chemical potential for water adsorption on $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$(0001). Also shown in Figs. 5 and 6 are the fully hydroxylated surface (FH) and the adsorption of an additional water bilayer on top (FH8).

Figure 7 shows the adsorption energy per molecule calculated as

$$E_{\text{ads}} = \frac{(E_n - E_0) - nE_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{n}.$$  

We find the relative adsorption energy to vary only moderately between the different adsorption geometries. This indicates that the surface-molecule interaction is dominant over the molecule-molecule interaction. A closer look at the adsorption energies shows two additional trends: a slight decrease in the adsorption energy with increasing coverage of dissociated molecules is followed by a somewhat more pro-

\[ \text{FIG. 5. (Color online) Schematic top view of relevant adsorption structures.} \]
\[ \text{FIG. 6. (Color online) Schematic side view of a water bilayer adsorbed on the Al-terminated (AT8) or fully hydroxylated (FH8) surface.} \]
\[ \text{FIG. 7. Calculated adsorption energy per water molecule for the adsorption configurations AT1–AT8 as well as FH, FH1, and FH8 models.} \]
nounced decrease as soon as the molecular adsorption starts for coverages above one water molecule per primitive surface unit cell (AT4). Overall we find a variation between about 1.5 and 1.2 eV for the adsorption energy. The lower limit agrees well with the calculations of Ranea et al.\textsuperscript{20} who considered exclusively molecularly adsorbed water. Also the energy difference between molecularly and dissociatively adsorbed water is close to the earlier calculations which state about 0.43 eV for the low-coverage regime.\textsuperscript{16}

Also shown in Fig. 7 are the differential binding energies $E_{\text{TDS}}$ calculated as

$$E_{\text{TDS}} = E_n - E_{n-1} - E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here we calculated a somewhat larger spread between 0.8 and 1.6 eV for adsorption on the Al-terminated surface. This spread gets even larger if the energy is considered to either extract one water monomer from the FH structure (about 2.5 eV) or to remove water monomers adsorbed on top of the fully hydroxylated surface (about 0.6 eV); see square symbols in Fig. 7. Water adsorption in excess of one monolayer leads to a decrease in the adsorption energy that is even more pronounced for the fully hydroxylated surface than in case of adsorption on the metal-terminated surface; see Ref. 34.

The adsorption energetics was also studied using TPD measurements of differently water-treated $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$(0001) surfaces. Figure 8 demonstrates that $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ desorbs over a temperature range from 300 to 450 K. The thermal desorption feature is dominated by a well-defined main peak centered around 350 K. In order to extract desorption kinetic parameters, a Redhead-based analysis has been applied to the desorption threshold region.\textsuperscript{38} By assuming a pre-exponential factor of $10^{13}$ s\textsuperscript{-1} and using the Redhead equation with the heating rate $\beta=1$ K s\textsuperscript{-1} (determined from the differential of the experimental heating curve of the sample at the peak temperatures), energies of 0.9–1.5 eV for the desorption peak were determined. This is in very good agreement with the calculated differential binding energies of 0.8–1.6 eV for the AT adsorption models and close to earlier TDS work that reported a range of 1.0–1.8 eV.\textsuperscript{12} We cannot completely exclude surface defects such as step edges\textsuperscript{39,40} to contribute additionally to the broadening of the TPD peak. The excellent $(1 \times 1)$ LEED pattern as well as our AFM data, cf. Fig. 1, indicates, though, that surface defects are less important than different water bonding situations for the broadening of the TPD peak.

The measured range of desorption temperatures corresponds to the variation in desorption energies calculated for dissociatively and molecularly adsorbed water on the metal-terminated $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ surface. The adsorption energies concluded from the TPD experiments are clearly not compatible with the calculations for the FH models. While the removal of a water molecule from the FH surface requires an energy of about 2.5 eV, excess water on top of the FH structure bonds very weakly with adsorption energies only slightly larger than 0.5 eV. These values are outside the range of 0.9–1.5 eV of the measured desorption peak.

V. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, DFT-GGA calculations as well as TPD spectroscopy for water adsorbed on the clean $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$(0001) surface were performed. For low water coverages single dissociated water molecules are energetically most favored on the Al-terminated surface. The PES for both intact water molecules as well as water fragments adsorbed on $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$(0001) is highly corrugated indicating a low surface mobility. Increasing the water coverage on the Al-terminated surface favors complex structures consisting of both dissociated and intact water monomers. The corresponding variation in the adsorption energy per atom is in good accord with our TPD data. The thermodynamic ground state of the surface is fully hydrogenated. The corresponding adsorption energies, however, are either too large (fully hydroxylated surface) or too low (adsorption on top of the fully hydroxylated surface) to account for the TPD data. We therefore conclude that the adsorption kinetics is very important for the actual surface composition and that short water exposure times are not sufficient to form the fully hydroxylated surface.
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